Synopisis of the Interview with Glenn Bogue

Where the Crown 'thing' has asserted that Indigenous, First Nations and Ab-original all mean the same thing, the Metis of Turtle Island (the Western Hemisphere) counter-assert that:

A) Indigenous means any woman or man born on Turtle Island (or adopted into a Tribe).
B) Ab-original means 'away from' (as in Ab-normal) – not from here originally.
C) First Nations refers to just those Native People who surrendered to the Crown, and joined a Band sub-corporation of CANADA. They are NOT a separate nation.

Where everyone was born as an Individual who is 'Indigenous' to that place where they were born, the Crown asked your Mother to apply for a birth bond certificate, through which you became a 'person' by legislation, an artificial **legal** entity. This is *different* than Indigenous **Law**.

When you were born, your birth right cloaked you with Autochthonous Title to that land, which no other human being can surrender for you. Period. That title includes ownership, or Stewardship of the Resources of CANADA (Kanata), valued at U.S. $700 Trillion.

In *Haida v BC* 2004 SCC 73 at paragraph [32] the SCC confirmed the Crown only had *de facto* control of our Resources. Therefore, your *de jure* Stewardship remains intact.

On September 13, 2007, the United Nations passed The U.N. Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People (UNDRIP) which, pursuant to the International **Law** of (automatic) Adoption set out in *R v Hape* 2007 SCC 26 at [36-39], was adopted into Canadian law. P.M.'s Harper and Trudeau, and many lawyers (and lawyers-turned judges) practiced the opposite, the Law of (slow) Transformation, up until May 10, 2016 when the INAC (now CIRNAC) Minister Bennett **consented** to entrench UNDRIP into S. 35 of the legislation known as The Constitution Act, making UNDRIP the **Supreme Law of the Land**, pursuant to S. 52 of that Constitution.

In *Daniels v CANADA* 2016 SCC 12, at [20] the Crown conceded the **Non-Status** People exist.

On April 23, 2021, *R v Desautel* 2021 SCC 17 at [30] stated that the Indigenous were never **conquered**. At [68}, the SCC cited British Imperial **Law** (aka International Constitutional Common **Law**) which goes back to 1774, where the UK High Court stated Acts passed in London are not valid over the **Un-conquered** People overseas. The Constitution Act (legal) was passed only in London, and so is not valid over the Non-Status, Un-conquered People **unless you as an Individual consent**. Further, *Desautel* [86] recognized Indigenous **Law** still exists.

On December 13, 2024 CIRNAC acknowledged the Alliance of Indigenous Nations (A.I.N.) global **Treaty** and its Inter-national Tribunal **Nation to Nation**. According to Inter-national Comity, set out in *Pro Swing v Elta Golf* 2006 SCC 52, the Orders of one Nation must be enforced in another Nation for $ damages, and other types of Orders if they do not interfere with domestic legal principles. Uniquely, those who assert their Individual, Non-status, Un-conquered standing can utilize Inter-National **Law**, and also their Individual **Treaty** Rights in S. 35, where UNDRIP Article 1 confirms your **Individual** Right to all the Rights of UNDRIP as a woman or man per Article 44, especially to your Indigenous Tribunals, per Articles 27, 34 and 40.

Thumbnail

First Nations People surrendered and became Citizens ('persons') of a **legal** entity.

The Indigenous (born here) have Autochthonous Title to the Land and Resources that can never be taken away, without their *informed* consent.

Inter-National Constitutional Common Law states Acts passed in London (the Constitution Act of CANADA) are NOT valid over the **Un-conquered** Individuals, unless you consent.

Inter-National **Law** states Orders Nation to Nation (by Indigenous Tribunals like A.I.N.) must be enforced for $ damages, and other Orders too by asserting your Individual Rights of UNDRIP, entrenched into S. 35 as The Supreme **Law** of the Land.

Desautel [86] confirms your Right to Indigenous Common **Law**.

Note: the SCC , being an Act of Parliament, is NOT entrenched, and so makes rulings only over statutory 'persons,' and not over Individual Indigenous women and men.

Beckman v Little Salmon/Carmacks 2011 SCC 53 at [33] stated the Ab-original People can also be Citizens. Now that UNDRIP is entrenched on consent, the same applies to Individuals who were never conquered. Note at the Plains of Abraham (1759), the British conquered only the French, not the Canadians, who signed the U.S. Articles of Confederation 1777 (Article XI) and became the 14th Sovereign State in Perpetuity, before being duped by The CANADA Act of 1791, passed only in London, that forced Canadian 'persons' to pay the war debt of the USA.

The 3 Bankruptcies

The American Constitution gave the Americans 70 years to pay that debt, so up to the Civil War, plus 70 years up to the 1929 Crash, plus 70 years up to 9/11/2001, plus a 20 year grace period, extended by Covid. Today, the USA's Financial Institutions have altered the Uniform Commercial Code's Section 8 and 9 to give them a priority over your retirement accounts and your bank accounts. Obtain *The Great Taking* by David Webb free online, or read Lawyer Ellen Brown here: https://scheerpost.com/2024/02/14/ellen-brown-defusing-the-derivatives-time-bomb-some-proposed-solutions/

UNDRIP Articles 4, 20, 26 and 36 provide for your own Individual Indigenous Banking System. That framework exists at TUMULT.ca.